

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

٠.	COMMISSIONER LOW LY LEIGHT
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/803,012	03/12/2001	Junya Kaku	010304	7340
38834 7	7590 09/26/2006		EXAM	INER
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			CHEVALIER, ROBERT	
1250 CONNEC	CTICUT AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2621	
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/803,012	KAKU, JUNYA		
Examiner	Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Bob Chevalier	2621		

Advisory Advisor	03/003,072	IVANO, SOIVIA			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bob Chevalier	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•	to the Control of the Control	takan sa ta taka a da		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE '06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS	F0	(4)			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or		gp,g			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>2-6</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		inity to bolow of attack	ica.		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
13. ☐ Other:		ROBERT CHEVAL PRIMARY EXAMI	<b>~</b>		
		4 STREET HALL PLANESS			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Sata's reference fails to disclose the feature of transferring a partial amount per time of the moving image content from said recording medium to said internal memory, as called for in claim 1. In response, it is to be noted that as indicated in the Final Rejection mailed out on 6/19/06, such a feature of transferring a partial amount per time of the moving image content from the recording medium to the internal memory is already recited in the cited reference of Konuta et al's page 1, paragraphs (0019-00211, and page 3, paragraph (0037). Therefore, Sata et al does not need to recite such a claimed limitation argued by Applicant. Sata et al is cited for the capability of simultaneously transferring and reproducing recorded data on and from a memory recording medium. (See Sata et al's Figure 1, components 3-5 wherein such a feature is noted to be disclosed.